

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST.NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/754,600	01/05/2001	John S. Holmes	9D-HR-19406- Holmes a	1		
75	90 10/20/2003		EXAMINER			
John S Beulick			TANNER, HARRY B			
Armstrong Teas						
One Metropolita	an Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 2600			3744			
St Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAIL ED: 10/20/20	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003		

21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	/				
	09/754,600		HOLMES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Harry B. Tanner		3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	Nugust 2003 .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under				merits is				
Disposition of Claims A)M. Claim(a), 1.30 is/ore pending in the application								
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4-7,19 and 21-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 3 9-18 20 and 27-30 is/are rejected								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,9-18,20 and 27-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 25</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers	r election require	ment.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	4) 5) and 17 . 6)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/754,600

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant's election of the invention of Group VI, claims 9-13 and 26-30, in Paper No. 20 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 2, 4-7, 19, and 21-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 20.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 15 contradicts parent claim 14 that requires that the first chamber can be operated at a temperature below or <u>above</u> the second chamber.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 14-17, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al. Aoki discloses a refrigeration system and method of controlling same in which a plurality of chambers can be controlled independently with individual

Application/Control Number: 09/754,600

Art Unit: 3744

temperature setting means and in which either chamber can be controlled to be above or below the temperature of the other chamber and in which the temperatures of the chambers are controlled by motor driven dampers controlling the rate of air flow from a single refrigeration unit (see col. 5, lines 4-61).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-13 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Whipple, III et al. Aoki discloses using dampers for each chamber. Whipple teaches the use of a single stepper motor driven damper in order to control the temperature of multiple chambers by controlling the relative rate of air flow to each chamber. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Aoki such that it included the use of a single stepper motor driven damper in order to control the temperature of multiple chambers by controlling the relative rate of air flow to each chamber in view of the teachings of Whipple.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Japanese abstract 03267672.

Japanese abstract 03267672 teaches the use of a storage chamber of a refrigerator as a quick chill/thaw chamber. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Aoki such that it included the use of a storage chamber of the refrigerator as a quick chill/thaw chamber in view of the teachings of Japanese abstract 03267672.

Claims 8 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Harry B. Tanner

Page 4

Harry Tanner October 16, 2003 703-308-2622